UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,434	02/06/2004	Ramachandran Krishnaswamy	333772000800	5995	
	7590 07/11/200 : FOERSTER LLP	8	EXAMINER		
425 MARKET			LO, SUZANNE		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2128		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,434	KRISHNASWAMY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SUZANNE LO	2128		

	002/11/12 20	2120	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, whic with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	h places the) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate on the fee. The appropriate on the final Office and the final Office are final of the final Office are final of the final Office.	extension fee ction; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the ap	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered becau	ISA
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PT0	DL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an expla	anation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be t or other evidence is ned	entered cessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overco <mark>m</mark> e <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance I	oecause:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kamini S Shah/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. In accordance with MPEP 2143.03, all claim limitations have been considered, however, the limitation of "vendor-specific software module comprises a module-specific compiler for generating test pattern objects" is still rendered obvious by Botala by the rationale as set forth in the Final Office Action. Furthermore, the Examiner notes that MPEP 2114 is directed towards apparatus claims while claims 1-23 are method claims.

Additionally, the proposed amendment to the claims have not been entered as they change the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration. The Examiner also recommends that the Applicant change "for loading on the vendor-supplied hardware" to "that loads vendor-supplied hardware" or "which loads vendor-supplied hardware" in order to avoid being directed to intended use.